

ENHANCING QUALITY ASSURANCE IN

Education and Training Worldwide

A Comprehensive Analysis by
International Association for Quality
Assurance in Pre-tertiary and Higher
Education (QAHE)

www.qahe.org
2022/2023

accreditation@qahe.org
Accreditation Committee



Enhancing Quality Assurance in Education and Training Worldwide: A Comprehensive Analysis by QAHE

Introduction

Education and training are critical for the development and prosperity of nations. Quality assurance in education and training ensures that the programs and courses are designed and delivered to meet the needs and expectations of learners, employers, and the wider community. In this report, we will provide a detailed analysis of global education and training quality assurance practices, challenges, and opportunities.

Global Education and Training Quality Assurance Practices

The quality assurance practices in education and training vary across the globe. However, most countries have some form of quality assurance mechanism in place. These mechanisms can be divided into two categories: external and internal quality assurance.

External Quality Assurance:

External quality assurance involves the evaluation of education and training programs by an external agency or organization. This evaluation is typically conducted by an independent body that is not affiliated with the institution offering the program. The external quality assurance process is designed to ensure that the education and training programs meet established standards and are of high quality. Examples of external quality assurance agencies include accreditation bodies, government agencies, and professional bodies.

In many countries, external quality assurance agencies play a critical role in ensuring the quality of education and training programs. For example, in the United States, the Higher Learning Commission (HLC) is an independent agency that accredits institutions of higher education based on established standards and criteria (Higher Learning Commission, n.d.). Similarly, in Australia, the Tertiary Education Quality and Standards Agency (TEQSA) is

responsible for the quality assurance and accreditation of higher education providers (TEQSA, n.d.).

Internal Quality Assurance:

Internal quality assurance involves the evaluation of education and training programs by the institution offering the program. This evaluation is typically conducted by the faculty and staff of the institution offering the program. The internal quality assurance process is designed to ensure that the education and training programs meet established standards and are of high quality.

Many institutions have established internal quality assurance mechanisms that involve ongoing monitoring and evaluation of programs and courses. For example, the University of Edinburgh in the United Kingdom has a quality assurance framework that includes regular program reviews, student feedback, and external examiner reports (University of Edinburgh, n.d.). Similarly, the National University of Singapore has an internal quality assurance system that includes regular program reviews, student feedback, and external peer reviews (National University of Singapore, n.d.).

Challenges in Global Education and Training Quality Assurance:

Despite the existence of quality assurance mechanisms, several challenges exist in ensuring that education and training programs are of high quality. Some of these challenges include:

1. Lack of Resources:

Many institutions, especially those in developing countries, lack the resources necessary to implement and maintain quality assurance mechanisms. For example, a study conducted in Tanzania found that the lack of financial resources was a significant barrier to the implementation of quality assurance mechanisms in higher education institutions (Mwakilasa, 2014).

2. Inadequate Training of Personnel:

Personnel responsible for quality assurance may not have the necessary skills and training to carry out their duties effectively.

A study conducted in Malaysia found that personnel responsible for quality assurance in higher education institutions lacked the necessary training and expertise to carry out their duties effectively (Ali, 2017).

3. Inconsistent Standards:

Standards for quality assurance may vary across institutions and countries, leading to inconsistencies in the evaluation of education and training programs.

A study conducted in Europe found that there were significant variations in quality assurance standards and practices across different countries (European Commission, 2018).

4. Limited Stakeholder Involvement:

Stakeholders, including students, employers, and the wider community, may not be adequately involved in the quality assurance process. A study conducted in the United Kingdom found that there was a lack of stakeholder involvement in the quality assurance process, which led to a perceived lack of relevance and effectiveness of quality assurance mechanisms (Bamber et al., 2014).

Opportunities in Global Education and Training Quality Assurance:

Despite the challenges, there are several opportunities to improve the quality of education and training programs globally. Some of these opportunities include:

1. Collaboration:

Institutions can collaborate with external agencies and organizations to share resources and expertise in quality assurance. For example, the Association of African Universities (AAU) has established a Quality Assurance and

Accreditation project that aims to enhance the quality of higher education in Africa through collaboration and capacity building (Association of African Universities, n.d.).

2. Technology:

Advancements in technology can be leveraged to improve quality assurance mechanisms, including the use of online assessments and data analytics. For example, the International Council for Open and Distance Education (ICDE) has developed a Quality Network that provides guidance and support for institutions offering online and distance education programs (ICDE, n.d.).

3. Stakeholder Involvement:

Increased involvement of stakeholders in the quality assurance process can lead to better alignment of education and training programs with the needs of the community. For example, in New Zealand, the Tertiary Education Commission (TEC) has established a Quality Assurance Framework that includes stakeholder engagement in the quality assurance process, including student feedback and input from employers and industry (Tertiary Education Commission, n.d.).

4. Capacity Building:

Investments in capacity building, including training of personnel, can improve the effectiveness of quality assurance mechanisms. For example, the African Quality Assurance Network (AfriQAN) was established to provide capacity building and support for quality assurance agencies in Africa (AfriQAN, n.d.).

Conclusion:

Quality assurance is critical for ensuring that education and training programs meet established standards and are of high quality. While challenges exist, there are several opportunities to improve quality assurance mechanisms globally. Collaboration, technology, stakeholder involvement, and capacity

building are strategies that can be leveraged to improve the quality of education and training programs globally.

References:

AfriQAN. (n.d.). About AfriQAN. Retrieved from [<https://www.afriqan.org/about-afriqan/>]

Ali, A. K. (2017). The challenges of implementing quality assurance in higher education institutions: Evidence from Malaysia. *Quality in Higher Education*, 23(2), 184-197. doi: 10.1080/13538322.2017.1294554

Association of African Universities. (n.d.). Quality Assurance and Accreditation. Retrieved from [<https://www.aau.org/programmes/quality-assurance-and-accreditation/>]

Bamber, V., Duke, C., Earley, P., Ferguson, D., Fulford, H., & Ralston, R. (2014). Quality assurance in higher education: An introduction to the debate. *Quality in Higher Education*, 20(1), 1-3. doi: 10.1080/13538322.2014.877383

European Commission. (2018). Quality assurance in European higher education: A review of developments since 2009. Retrieved from [<https://publications.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/1b5d3d3a-6d7f-11e8-8c1f-01aa75ed71a1/language-en/format-PDF/source-71730195>]

Higher Learning Commission. (n.d.). About HLC. Retrieved from [<https://www.hlcommission.org/About-HLC/about-hlc.html>]

ICDE. (n.d.). Quality Network. Retrieved from [<https://www.icde.org/quality-network>]

Mwakilasa, G. (2014). Barriers to quality assurance in higher education in developing countries: The case of Tanzania. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 5(33), 60-68.

National University of Singapore. (n.d.). Quality Assurance Framework. Retrieved from [<https://www.nus.edu.sg/quality-assurance-framework>]

Tertiary Education Commission. (n.d.). Quality Assurance Framework. Retrieved from [<https://www.tec.govt.nz/funding/funding-and-performance/funding-and-performance-framework/quality-assurance-framework/>]

University of Edinburgh. (n.d.). Quality Assurance. Retrieved from [<https://www.ed.ac.uk/about/quality-assurance>]

Disclaimer:

The information provided in this report is for general informational purposes only and does not constitute professional advice. While we have made every effort to ensure the accuracy and completeness of the information provided, we make no representations or warranties of any kind, express or implied, about the completeness, accuracy, reliability, suitability or availability with respect to the report or the information, products, services, or related graphics contained in the report for any purpose. Any reliance you place on such information is therefore strictly at your own risk.

In no event will we be liable for any loss or damage including without limitation, indirect or consequential loss or damage, or any loss or damage whatsoever arising from loss of data or profits arising out of, or in connection with, the use of this report.

The inclusion of any links to external websites or resources does not necessarily imply a recommendation or endorsement of the views expressed within them. We have no control over the nature, content and availability of those sites and their contents.

Every effort is made to keep the report up and running smoothly. However, we take no responsibility for, and will not be liable for, the report being temporarily unavailable due to technical issues beyond our control.